The Honorable Richard A. Jones 1 2 United States District Judge 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 12 AT SEATTLE 13 14 B.H., M.A., A.S.D., M.F., H.L., L.M.M., B.M., G.K., L.K.G., and D.W., 15 No. CV11-2108-RAJ Individually and on Behalf of All Others 16 Similarly Situated, 17 18 19 Plaintiffs, [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL CLASS 20 NOTICE REGARDING AMENDMENT 21 OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND v. 22 ATTORNEYS' FEES 23 U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND 24 IMMIGRATION SERVICES; 25 **EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR** 26 IMMIGRATION REVIEW; Janet 27 NAPOLITANO, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security; Alejandro 28 MAYORKAS, Director, U.S. Citizenship 29 and Immigration Services; Eric H. 30 HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General of the 31 United States: Juan OSUNA. Director. 32 Executive Office for Immigration Review, 33 34 35 Defendants. 36 37 IMPORTANT REVISED CLASS NOTICE 38 39 This Notice contains important information that may pertain to you. Please read it carefully. Under the Settlement Agreement in B.H., et al. v. United States Citizenship and 40 41 Immigration Services, et al., No. CV11-2108-RAJ (W.D. Wash.) (also referred to as 42 43 44 45

46

47

Supplemental Class Notice

Page 1 of 3 CV11-2108-RAJ

"ABT Settlement Agreement"), 1 certain individuals who seek to file an asylum application or have already filed an asylum application, are entitled to new procedures relating to the crediting of time toward eligibility for employment authorization.

The complete Settlement Agreement, including definitions of Class Members, exact terms of the relief, and the exact terms of any process available to seek review of an alleged violation of the Settlement Agreement, may be found at www.nwirp.org, http://www.legalactioncenter.org/litigation/asylum-clock, www.mlri.org, and www.ghp-law.net. In addition, this information is available on the USCIS website, www.uscis.gov, and the EOIR website, www.uscip.gov/eoir.

The Court held a Fairness Hearing on the Proposed Settlement Agreement on September 20, 2013. No formal objection to the settlement was received; however, the Court received a letter raising concerns regarding a single issue. In response to this letter of concern, the parties proposed adding language to Part III.A.5.a. to clarify one point of the settlement agreement, italicized below in bold:

Following a BIA remand of a case for the adjudication of an asylum claim, whether on appeal from an immigration judge decision or following a remand from a U.S. Court of Appeals, for purposes of EAD eligibility, the applicant will be credited with the number of days that elapsed between the initial immigration judge denial and the date of the BIA remand order. *In addition, the applicant will accrue time creditable toward employment authorization from the date of the BIA remand order going forward, exclusive of applicant caused delays.* An asylum applicant seeking employment authorization must attach a copy of the complete BIA order remanding the case for the adjudication of an asylum claim to the immigration court to his or her application for employment authorization.

The modified Settlement Agreement also includes a revision to Part III.A.5.c., adding language addressing the implementation timeframe to mirror language that appears elsewhere in the Agreement, although the Court received no objection addressing this issue. The new language of Section 5.c. now reads, with the additional language italicized in bold: "The relief described in this Agreement in Section III.A.5. shall apply to all Remand Subclass members whose asylum cases have been remanded and whose asylum cases are pending before EOIR at the time the Defendants implement these settlement terms, and shall apply prospectively. *Defendants will implement these resolutions within six* (6) months of the Effective Date of this Agreement."

¹ The original caption for this action listed "A.B.T., K.M.-W., G.K., L.K.G., [and] D.W." as the individual plaintiffs. This Notice will refer to this action as "ABT," the Settlement Agreement in this action as "the ABT Settlement Agreement," claimants under the Agreement as "ABT claimants," and the claim review process under the Agreement as the "Individual ABT Claim Review process."

Supplemental Class Notice Page 3 of 3 CV11-2108-RAJ

A red-lined version of the Settlement Agreement is available at www.nwirp.org and http://www.legalactioncenter.org/litigation/asylum-clock. In addition, this information is available on the USCIS website, www.uscis.gov, and the EOIR website, www.usdoj.gov/eoir.

In addition, at the Fairness Hearing the Court directed the parties to provide additional notice to the Class Members of the proposed payment of Attorney's Fees and Costs of \$425,000. Details of claimed attorney and paralegal work demonstrating that this is a reasonable payment may be found at www.nwirp.org and http://www.legalactioncenter.org/litigation/asylum-clock.

Any objections to the above revisions of the proposed settlement agreement or to the proposed payment of Attorney's Fees and Costs should be submitted to the Court within thirty (30) days of the date of this notice. The notice to the Clerk of the Court shall be sent to: Clerk, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, 700 Stewart Street, Seattle, WA 98101, and both the envelope and letter shall state "Attention: A.B.T., et al. v. United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, et al., No. CV11-2108-RAJ (W.D. Wash.)." Copies shall also be served on counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants as set forth below:

TO PLAINTIFFS:

Matt Adams NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT RIGHTS PROJECT 615 2nd Avenue, Suite 400 Seattle, WA 98104

TO DEFENDANTS:

J. Max Weintraub Senior Litigation Counsel United States Department of Justice, Civil Division Office of Immigration Litigation – District Court Section P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044

Dated: September 27, 2013